3D Gravity Modeling of Osage County, Oklahoma, with 3D Geology Interpretation Kevin Crain and G. Randy Keller

Free-air gravity map of Oklahoma, the study area is in the inset box.





Figure 5. Observed Free-air gravity.

-97.00 Figure 6. Estimated Free-air gravity anomaly from 3D geology model.



Figure 10. Red Fork sand and Mississippi Lime production zones. OGS, GM-38, Boyd, D., 2002





Figure 2. Residual Bouguer gravity.



Figure 3. Observed Free-air gravity.



Figure 7. Residual Free-air anomaly, Gobs - Gest, (RFAA.) Anomaly



Figure 12. Residual Free-air anomaly over residual TMI anomaly.

Figure 11. Vitrinite reflectance over residual Free-air anomaly.



Figure 4. Free-air gravity over Precambrian basement geology.





Summary

New exploration challenges in complex regions demand 3D gravity model- This Osage County geology interpretation assumes simple "layer cake" geing using representative and causal 3D geology interpretations. We present a simple 3D gravity model of Osage County in northeastern Oklahoma, mean sea-level. The elevation of the Precambrian basement topography Figure 1, where there is a greater than 40 mGal, 100 km diameter circular gravity anomaly that cannot be effectively removed by traditional gravity processing techniques, Figure 2.

The goal of this particular gravity interpretation is to enhance the signal of the geology above the Precambrian basement by minimizing the signature of an expected deep-sourced gravity field reflected in the observed Free-air gravity. The modeled gravity is the result of a density inversion using spatially distributed observed Free-air gravity data at their observation locations and the gravity effect of a geology-constrained 3D interpretation, Figure 9, using observed and expected geology. Individual components of the 3D geology interpretation can be modified and updated at any time to address the residual gravity anomaly.

The observed Free-air gravity, Figure 3, and, Figure 5, is the result of the instantaneous "local" density distribution. Therefore, the modeled Free-air gravity, Figure 6, is built with geologically consistent and constrained 3D geology interpretation at sufficient detail representing the necessary complexity of the Earth, Figure 9, while allowing for ease of calculating the model gravity field and geology interpretation. The residual Free-air anomaly (RFAA) is the difference between the observed Free-air and the estimated Free-air gravity, Figure 7.

The Geology Interpretation

To illustrate, the RFAA shows correlations to Lower Red Fork sand and Misology, Figure 9, starting from the topographic surface to 45 km below sissippi carbonate production zones, Figure 10, throughout the sedimentary section. Mid-crust high-density igneous intrusions may address some of and geology are constrained by drill-hole intercepts and geology, Figure 4, the "small" scale "high" amplitude anomalies in the residual Free-air anomaafter OGS Cir-84. The expected deep crust high-density distribution exly. To support this hypothesis vitrinite reflectance values are increasing and tends from 25 to 45 km depth and reflects the large gravity anomaly, curving around the under-compensated residual gravity anomaly (B. Car-Figure 8. The basic density structure of the geology interpretation is: dott, personal communication, 2011), Figure 11, and the RFAA correlation to the residual TMI anomaly, Figure 12.

- Sediment above Precambrian basement 2.55 g/cc
- 2. Precambrian basement
- 3. Lower crust
- 2.67 g/cc 2.85 to 3.00 g/cc

Results We have presented results for a simple, first pass 3D gravity model using an initial simple 3D geology interpretation. The estimated 3D Residual Free-air anomaly shows geologically consistent gravity signatures. The residual can The estimated Free-air gravity, Figure 6, is the result of a density inversior be addressed with updated geology interpretations. Each of the individual of a 3D geology interpretation. The difference between the observed and components of the Osage County, OK, geology interpretation was built to estimated Free-air gravity is the RFAA gravity, Figure 7. To evaluate the retest one or more geologic hypotheses. By updating one of the components sults, we examined the observed Free-air gravity, the estimated Free-air of the geology interpretation, the validity of that individual component gravity and the RFAA, Figure 5 to Figure 12, along with the expected geo can be tested. One updated geology interpretation may include a gy interpretation, Figure 9. The level of complexity of the RFAA in Figure 2 mid-crust igneous intrusion addressing the gravity high (red in color, unreflects the multiple sources of gravity signature that can only be adder-compensated in the geology interpretation) near the center of the dressed by building correspondingly more complex geologic interpretasurvey area, the high vitrinite reflectance values, and corresponding residtions of: ual TMI anomalies.

Basement structures.



Oklahoma Geological Survey Jeremy Boak, *Director*

Open File Report 5-2016

[•]Oklahoma Geological Survey Open-File Report Disclaime Open-File Reports are used for the dissemination of information that fills a public need and are intended to make the results of research available at the earliest possible da ecause of their nature and possibility of being superseded, an Open-File Report is intended as a preliminary report not as a final publication. Analyses presented in this article are ased on information available to the author, and do not necessarily represent the views of the Oklahoma Geological Survey, the University of Oklahoma, their employees, or the Stat f Oklahoma. The accuracy of the informa ot guaranteed and any mention of trade names are not an endorsement by the author, the Oklahoma Geolog Survey, or the University of Oklahom

• Complex density structures within the sediments.

Complex density structures within the upper and mid-crust.

Conclusions